

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/537,563	06/03/2005		Hisaharu Nakahara	NAA218	4547		
25271	25271 7590 09/05/2006				EXAMINER		
GALLAGH 601 CALIFO		ATHROP, A PROI	NATALINI, JEFF WILLIAM				
SUITE 1111	MNIA 31			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANC	CISCO C	A 94108		2858			

DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence			63	NAKAHARA, HIS	SAHARU				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit					
		Jeff Natal		2858					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet v	with the correspondence ac	ddress				
WHIO - Extending aftender - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of results of this community of the provision	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evolication. ttory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUN rent, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MC polication to become	IICATION. A reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)□	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
· ·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for	tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	tion of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the app	lication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election req	uirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers								
, —	The specification is objected to by the								
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 June 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	<del>-</del> , ,	-	• •	NED 4 4044 IV				
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
,	•	oy tile ⊑xamiller. N	ote the attach	ed Office Action of form P	10-152.				
_	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority un	ider 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a	a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	<ul> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>								
					l Stage				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
			·						
Attachmei	nt(s)								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	0.048\		/ Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date			Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Figure 3, figure 6, figure 7, figure 8, and figure 5.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Figure 3: claims 1-3 and 7

Figure 6: claims 1-2, and 4

Figure 7: claims 1-2, and 5

Figure 8: claims 1-2, and 6

Figure 5: claim 9

Note: claim 8 is not shown in any figure, as it combines multiple figures.

The following claim(s) are generic: Claims 1-2 are generic to figures 3 and 6-8.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the species of figure 6, discloses a special technical feature of at least a multiple contact switching supplying one output to said potential difference measuring portion as the voltage at one end of said current measurement resistance; the species of figure 7 discloses a special technical feature of at least a range switching portion having buffers with switches having output stages which can be connect/blocked in response to control signals and being respectively connected to the output sides of said plurality of current buffers with switches; the species of figure 8 discloses a special technical feature of at least a range switching portion comprising feedback operation amplifiers having inverted inputs to which are connected to the outputs of the same current buffers with switches, non-inverted inputs to which are supplied the voltage from said current power supply portion, and outputs to which are connected to the inputs of said current buffers with switches; the species of figure 3 discloses a special technical feature of at least a first resistance inserted between the output of said digital-to-analog controller and the inverted input of said operational amplifier; and the species of figure 5, discloses a special technical feature of at least a current buffer with a switch having an input and output stage, wherein PNP and NPN transistors make up the two stages.

A telephone call was not attempted, as the examiner feels this restriction is complex and is more easier to convey on paper then over the phone.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Natalini whose telephone number is 571-272-2266. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on 571-272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,563 Page 5

Art Unit: 2858

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeff Natalini

ANDREW H. HIRSHFEED SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800